## VII. Kern County Customer Survey Results

## A. Overview and Methodology

In order to determine any issues not covered by other means (including the public meetings) as well as to provide an additional forum for public input, an Internet-based customer/citizen survey was made available between May $26^{\text {th }}$ and June $23^{\text {rd }} 2005$. Kern County staff was involved in reviewing and approving the content of the survey.
An announcement of the availability of the survey was made in the News and Information section of the Kern County website along with a computer link to the beginning of the survey. Citygate worked with the County's public information representative to ensure adequate awareness of the survey both before it was launched and during the time it was open for citizen input. The initial Internet page and the survey instrument can be found in Appendix XI.
Shown below are the details of the deployment of the survey.

| Launch Date | $05 / 26 / 2005-5: 11 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Close Date | $06 / 23 / 2005-9: 25 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Visits $^{1}$ | 528 |
| Partials $^{2}$ | 95 |
| Completes $^{3}$ | 272 |

## B. Analysis of the Survey Results

The survey began with a mandatory question asking whether the respondent had business with Kern County Animal Control in the past 12 months. The results are shown below.


Respondents answering Yes were taken to the next question to explore their experience in more depth. Those answering No were taken to question 9 to continue.

[^0]Those who answered Yes to Question 1 were asked the reasons for their contact with Animal Control. The results are shown below.


82 people chose to make an entry in the Other category. Their responses have been summarized below and sorted by frequency, high to low. Note that some answers covered more than one area; therefore, total responses may exceed 82. Many of the responses fit into one of the 3 categories provided: Information, Service Request, or Complaint.

| Response | $\underline{\text { Frequency }}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| To adopt a pet | 21 |
| Lost animal/pound visit | 15 |
| Animal rescue | 9 |
| Drop off animal | 8 |
| Licensing/Permits | 8 |
| Pet was picked up/quarantined | 7 |
| Volunteer | 3 |
| Report dog/cat bite | 3 |
| Report concern for animal cruelty/neglected animals | 2 |
| Reclaim a litter | 1 |
| Make a donation | 1 |
| Kennel inspection | 1 |
| Request pickup of dead animal | 1 |
| Rabies clinic | 1 |
| Miscellaneous other responses, not categorized | 5 |

The respondents were then asked to specify the nature of the contact. The results are summarized graphically below along with the percentages.


37 people chose to make an entry in the Other category. Their responses have been summarized below and sorted by frequency from high to low. Note that some answers covered more than one area and that many of the responses actually fit into the categories provided in the question.

| Response | Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| Dropped off animals/Arrange to have animals picked up | 8 |
| Report dead or injured animal | 6 |
| Report animal abuse or violations by others | 5 |
| Volunteer | 4 |
| Animal rescue | 2 |
| Monitor/check abuse and problems at KC shelter | 2 |
| Get information (shot clinics, permits, licenses, etc.) | 2 |
| Looking for lost/stolen animals | 2 |
| Donations | 1 |
| Responding to a complaint against the respondent | 1 |
| Miscellaneous other responses | 4 |

Note that those responding "Somewhat Difficult" and "Very Difficult" to this question comprise almost half of all responses.


Note that 41 percent of all respondents felt Very Dissatisfied with the outcome of their request/complaint.


59 people chose to make an entry in the Other category. Their responses have been summarized below and sorted by frequency from high to low. Many of the responses actually fit into the categories provided in the question.

| Response | Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| Keep getting unsatisfactory results/wrong info/"computer problems" | 6 |
| Told ACS unable to change policy/rules | 4 |
| Staff not interested in addressing problems/concerns | 4 |
| Took too long | 4 |
| Sick animals released (for adoption/from quarantine) | 4 |
| Phone not answered, voice response inadequate | 4 |
| Problem was not solved | 2 |
| Miscellaneous other responses, too specific to categorize | 31 |

All survey respondents, regardless of whether they had business with ACS over the past 12 months, were asked to rate the following 6 specifics of Animal Services.

| Based on your own experience or your perception, please rate the following specifics of 9. animal services. (You may check only one box per line.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { Poor } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { Fair } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { Good } \end{gathered}$ | 4 Excellent | Don't Know |
| 1. Days of operation | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ 36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ 75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \\ 105 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \% \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. Hours of operation | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ 93 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. Variety of programs offered | $\begin{gathered} 34 \% \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ 62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4. Availability of information on services and programs | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ 94 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5. Skill and helpfulness of staff | $\begin{gathered} 34 \% \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \% \\ 59 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. Availability of information on animal regulations | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ 75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ 41 \end{gathered}$ |

A pie chart showing the results of the rating of each of these 6 specifics is shown graphically on the next page. (Note that due to rounding, the percentage results may not be exactly the same.)


Variety of Programs Offered


Skill and Helpfulness of Staff


## Hours of Operation



Availability of Information on Services and Programs


Availability of Information on Animal Regulations


The following statement received 137 responses. See Appendix XII for a complete listing exactly as entered by the respondents with no editing or corrections of spelling, grammar, etc.


42 people chose to make an entry in the Other category. Their responses have been summarized below and sorted by frequency from high to low. Many of the responses actually fit into the categories provided in the question.

| Response | Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| Shot clinics, vaccinations in general | 3 |
| Rescue groups | 3 |
| Responsibilities of Animal Control | 3 |
| How to help the animals in the shelter | 2 |
| Timeframes for keeping/placing animals | 2 |
| Hobby breeder permits/licenses | 2 |
| Rescuing without being a non-profit 501c(3) | 1 |
| Trapping and containing animals | 1 |
| Euthanasia statistics | 1 |
| PSAs on animal neglect/cruelty and consequences | 1 |
| How to control the animal population | 1 |
| How to find your lost pet | 1 |
| Miscellaneous other responses, too specific to categorize | 28 |

The following 2 statements contain ratings of possible improvements to Animal Services. The respondents were asked to select whether changes to an area would produce No Change, a Minor Improvement, or a Major Improvement. Provision was made for Don't Know as well. Here are the results.

| Following are some possible areas where animal services could be improved. Please rate <br> 12. each area according to its importance to you. (You may check only one box per line.) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option | $1$ <br> No Change | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { Minor } \\ \text { Improvement } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { Major } \\ \text { Improvement } \end{gathered}$ | Don't Know |
| 1. a. Increase hours shelters are open | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ 81 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ 104 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. b. Increase days shelters are open | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \% \\ 84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ 99 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. c. Expand number of locations where licenses are sold | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \\ 38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ 68 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ 114 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4. d. Expand number of locations where pets are available for adoption | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ 53 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ 143 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ |


| Here are some additional areas where animal services could possibly be improved. Please <br> 13. rate each area according to its importance to you. (You many check only one box per line.) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option | 1 <br> No Change | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { Minor } \\ \text { Improvement } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \text { Major } \\ \text { Improvement } \end{gathered}$ | Don't Know |
| 1. a. Increase hours for adoption | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ 72 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \% \\ 115 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2. b. Increase days for adoption. | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ 42 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ 71 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \% \\ 111 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. c. Expand education and information programs. | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ 51 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \% \\ 161 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4. d. Additional assistance with spaying, neutering, or vaccinating animals. | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \% \\ 181 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |

More respondents thought that changes in each area would produce Major Improvements. This is depicted graphically on the following two pages. (Note that due to rounding, percentages may vary slightly from the tables above.)
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Next, a mandatory question was asked to gauge the public's willingness to tax themselves for improvements to Animal Control Services.


A large majority ( 75 percent) indicated their willingness to pay for additional services.
To follow-up, those who answered Yes to Question 14 were then required to specify the types of increases they would support.


56 people chose to make an entry in the Other category. Their responses have been summarized below and sorted by frequency from high to low. Many of the responses actually fit into the categories provided in the question.

| Response | Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| A sales tax/fee on every resident in Kern County | 9 |
| Increase pet license fees and service fees | 8 |
| Increased/heavy fines for violations | 6 |
| Tax on pet food/animal product purchases | 4 |
| Tax/Levy directly to ACS - not into the General Fund | 4 |
| Fundraisers/donations | 3 |


| Response | Frequency |
| :--- | :---: |
| Property tax | 2 |
| Spay-neuter/animal care license plate | 2 |
| Donations from community/businesses | 1 |
| Income tax | 1 |
| Fines directly to ACS for increased services for animals | 1 |
| Charge for spay/neuter on adoption | 1 |
| Allocate funds from other County programs | 1 |
| Miscellaneous other responses, too specific to categorize | 13 |

All respondents, regardless of how they answered the additional fee question, ended on the following statement:
16. Please provide any additional comments or suggestions in the space below.

There were 173 responses to this final statement in the survey. These responses have been reprinted in Appendix XII exactly as entered by the respondents. There was no editing of any kind. In summary, they repeat and perhaps expand upon themes already described above.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Visits" - the total number of people who visited the survey site during the open period.
    2 "Partials" - the number of surveys that were begun but not completed.
    ${ }^{3}$ "Completes" - the number of surveys that were completed and successfully added to the database.

